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Basic properties of Boolean functions for LFSR-based generators

## Boolean functions

Definition. A Boolean function of $\boldsymbol{n}$ variables is a function from $\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{2}}^{\boldsymbol{n}}$ into $\mathbf{F}_{2}$.

Truth table of a Boolean function.

| $x_{1}$ | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $x_{2}$ | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| $x_{3}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| $f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)$ | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |

Hamming weight of a Boolean function.
The Hamming weight of a Boolean function $f, \boldsymbol{w} t(f)$, is the Hamming weight of its value vector.

A function of $n$ variables is balanced if and only if $\boldsymbol{w} t(f)=2^{n-1}$.

## Combination generator


where $f$ is a balanced Boolean function of $\boldsymbol{n}$ variables.

## Filter generator

$s$ (keystream)


$$
\forall t \geq 0, \quad s_{t}=f\left(u_{t+\gamma_{1}}, u_{t+\gamma_{2}}, \ldots, u_{t+\gamma_{n}}\right)
$$

## Algebraic normal form (ANF)

Monomials in $\mathrm{F}_{2}\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right] /\left(x_{1}^{2}+x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}^{2}+x_{n}\right)$ :

$$
\left\{x^{u}, u \in \mathrm{~F}_{2}^{n}\right\} \text { where } x^{u}=\prod_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}^{u_{i}}
$$

Example: $x^{1011}=x_{1} x_{3} x_{4}$.

## Proposition.

Any Boolean function of $\boldsymbol{n}$ variables has a unique polynomial representation in $\mathrm{F}_{2}\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right] /\left(x_{1}^{2}+x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}^{2}+x_{n}\right)$ :

$$
f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=\sum_{u \in \mathrm{~F}_{2}^{n}} a_{u} x^{u}, \quad a_{u} \in \mathrm{~F}_{2}
$$

Moreover, the coefficients of the ANF and the values of $f$ satisfy:

$$
a_{u}=\bigoplus_{x \preceq u} f(x) \text { and } f(u)=\bigoplus_{x \preceq u} a_{x}
$$

where $\boldsymbol{x} \preceq \boldsymbol{y}$ if and only if $\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{i}} \leq \boldsymbol{y}_{\boldsymbol{i}}$ for all $\boldsymbol{1} \leq \boldsymbol{i} \leq \boldsymbol{n}$.

## Computing the ANF

| $x_{1}$ | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $x_{2}$ | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| $x_{3}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| $f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)$ | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a_{000}=f(000)=0 \\
& a_{100}=f(100) \oplus f(000)=1 \\
& a_{010}=f(010) \oplus f(000)=0 \\
& a_{110}=f(110) \oplus f(010) \oplus f(100) \oplus f(000)=1 \\
& a_{001}=f(001) \oplus f(000)=0 \\
& a_{101}=f(101) \oplus f(001) \oplus f(100) \oplus f(000)=0 \\
& a_{011}=f(011) \oplus f(001) \oplus f(010) \oplus f(000)=1 \\
& a_{111}=\bigoplus_{x \in \mathrm{~F}_{2}^{3}} f(x)=w t(f) \bmod 2=0
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
f=x_{1}+x_{1} x_{2}+x_{2} x_{3}
$$

## Degree and linear complexity

## Definition.

The degree of a Boolean function is the degree of the largest monomial in its ANF.

Proposition. The weight of an $\boldsymbol{n}$-variable function $\boldsymbol{f}$ is odd if and only if $\operatorname{deg} \boldsymbol{f}=\boldsymbol{n}$.

Degree and linear complexity of the combination generator.
Proposition. [Rueppel - Staffelbach 87]
For $\boldsymbol{n}$ LFSRs with primitive feedback polynomials and distinct lengths, the linear complexity of the keystream sequence generated by the combination of these LFSR by $f$ is

$$
\Lambda=f\left(L_{1}, \ldots, L_{n}\right)
$$

where $f$ is evaluated over integers.
Example: Geffe generator (1973)

$$
f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)=x_{1}+x_{1} x_{2}+x_{2} x_{3} . \Longrightarrow \Lambda=L_{1}+L_{1} L_{2}+L_{2} L_{3} .
$$

## Degree and linear complexity (2)

## Degree and linear complexity of the filter generator.

## Proposition. [Key76, Rueppel 86]

The linear complexity $\boldsymbol{\Lambda}$ of the keystream sequence generated by an LFSR of length $L$ filtered by $f$ satisfies

$$
\Lambda \leq \sum_{i=0}^{\operatorname{deg} f}\binom{L}{i}
$$

Moreover, if $L$ is a large prime,

$$
\Lambda \geq\binom{ L}{\operatorname{deg} f}
$$

for most filtering functions.

## Degree and basic algebraic attacks

## Communication Theory of Secrecy Systems (1949), page 711.

"Using functional notation we have for enciphering $E=f(K, M)$.
Given (or assuming) $M=m_{1}, m_{2}, \ldots, m_{s}$ and $\boldsymbol{E}=e_{1}, e_{2}, \ldots, e_{s}$, the cryptanalyst can set up equations for the different key elements $k_{1}, k_{2}, \ldots, k_{r}$ (namely the enciphering equations).

$$
\begin{aligned}
e_{1} & =f_{1}\left(m_{1}, m_{2}, \ldots, m_{s} ; k_{1}, \ldots, k_{r}\right) \\
e_{2} & =f_{2}\left(m_{1}, m_{2}, \ldots, m_{s} ; k_{1}, \ldots, k_{r}\right) \\
& \vdots \\
e_{s} & =f_{s}\left(m_{1}, m_{2}, \ldots, m_{s} ; k_{1}, \ldots, k_{r}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

All is known, we assume, except the $k_{i}$. Each of these equations should therefore be complex in the $k_{i}$, and involve many of them. Otherwise the enemy can solve the simple ones and then the more complex ones by substitution."

Set up the enciphering equations:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
s_{0}=f\left(x_{0}, \ldots, x_{L-1}\right) \\
s_{1}=f \circ \mathcal{L}\left(x_{0}, \ldots, x_{L-1}\right) \\
s_{t}=f \circ \mathcal{L}^{t}\left(x_{0}, \ldots, x_{L-1}\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

System of equations with $L$ variables of degree $d=\operatorname{deg}(f)$.
$\Longrightarrow$ Solve the system by linearization

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{d}\binom{n}{i} \simeq \frac{L^{d}}{d!} \text { keystream bits }
$$

Time complexity: $L^{3 d}$ operations.

Other representations of Boolean functions

## Reed-Muller codes

Definition. [Reed 54], [Muller54]
The Reed-Muller code of length $2^{n}$ and order $r, \boldsymbol{R M}(r, n)$, is the linear code formed by the value vectors of all Boolean functions of $\boldsymbol{n}$ variables and degree at most $\boldsymbol{r}$.

Proposition. $R M(r, n)$ has minimum distance $2^{n-r}$.

## Complexity of a Boolean function [Wegener 87]

$C_{\Omega}(f)=$ smallest number of gates of a circuit computing $f$, whose gates belong to $\Omega$.

Usually, $\Omega=\mathcal{B}_{2}$, set of Boolean functions of 2 variables.
For Programmable Logic-Arrays, $\Omega=(\wedge, \vee, \neg)$.

## Example.

- $x_{1} x_{2}+x_{1} x_{3}+x_{1} x_{4}+x_{1} x_{5}+x_{2} x_{3}+x_{2} x_{4}+x_{2} x_{5}+x_{3} x_{4}+x_{3} x_{5}$ $+x_{4} x_{5}-19$ gates.
- $\left[\left(z+x_{4}\right)\left(z+x_{5}\right)+z\right]+\left[y\left(x_{1}+x_{3}\right)+x_{1}\right]$ with $z=y+x_{3}$ and $y=x_{1}+x_{2}-10$ gates

The Shannon effect [Shannon 49], [Lupanov 70]
For all $\boldsymbol{n} \geq \mathbf{9}$, "almost all" Boolean functions of $\boldsymbol{n}$ variables have complexity $\boldsymbol{C}_{\mathcal{B}_{2}}$ greater than $2^{n} / n$.

## Correlation attacks and related criteria

## Correlation attack [Siegenthaler 85]



## Problem:

Recover the initial state of the target register from the knowledge of some keystream bits.

Correlation attack on a combination generator

with $\operatorname{Pr}\left[f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \neq x_{i}\right]=P\left[s_{t} \neq \sigma_{t}\right] \neq \frac{1}{2}$.

## Correlation-immune functions

$$
\operatorname{Pr}\left[f\left(\boldsymbol{X}_{1}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{X}_{n}\right)=1 \mid \boldsymbol{X}_{i}=1\right]=\operatorname{Pr}\left[f\left(\boldsymbol{X}_{1}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{X}_{n}\right)=1 \mid \boldsymbol{X}_{i}=0\right] .
$$

In terms of Hamming distance

|  | $x \in \mathrm{~F}_{2}^{n}, x_{i}=0$ |  |  |  |  | $x \in \mathrm{~F}_{2}^{n}, x_{i}=1$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $f$ | $f_{1}$ |  |  |  |  | $f_{2}$ |  |  |  |
| $\boldsymbol{x} \mapsto \boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{i}}$ | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| $f+x_{i}$ |  |  | $f$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |

$f$ correlation-immune: $\boldsymbol{w} t\left(f_{1}\right)=w t\left(f_{2}\right)$.
$\Longleftrightarrow d\left(f, x_{i}\right)=w t\left(f_{1}\right)+w t\left(f_{2}+1\right)=w t\left(f_{1}\right)+\left(2^{n-1}-w t\left(f_{2}\right)\right)=2^{n-1}$.

## Correlation-immunity of order $t$ [Siegenthaler 84]

Definition. A Boolean function $\boldsymbol{f}$ of $\boldsymbol{n}$ variables is $\boldsymbol{t}$-th order correlationimmune if, for any subset $T \subset\{1, \ldots, n\},|T|=t$, for any $a \in \mathbf{F}_{2}^{t}$,

$$
\operatorname{Pr}\left[f\left(\boldsymbol{X}_{1}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{X}_{n}\right)=\mathbf{1} \mid \forall i \in T, \boldsymbol{X}_{i}=a_{i}\right]=\operatorname{Pr}\left[\boldsymbol{f}\left(\boldsymbol{X}_{1}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{X}_{n}\right)=\mathbf{1}\right]
$$

Proposition. [Xiao-Massey88]
$f$ is $t$-th order correlation-immune if and only if for all $\alpha \in \mathrm{F}_{2}^{n}$ with $1 \leq w t(\alpha) \leq t, d(f, \alpha \cdot x)=2^{n-1}$.

Definition. A $t$-resilient function is a balanced $t$-th order correlationimmune function.
$\Longrightarrow$ The correlation-immunity order of a combining function must be high.

## Degree of a correlation-immune function

Theorem. [Siegenthaler 84]
Let $f$ be a Boolean function of $n$ variables. Then, its correlationimmunity order $t$ satisfies

$$
\operatorname{deg}(f)+t \leq n
$$

Moreover, if $f$ is balanced,

$$
\operatorname{deg}(f)+t \leq n-1
$$

Distance to affine functions and Walsh transform

## Walsh transform of a Boolean function

Imbalance of a Boolean function.
For any Boolean function $\boldsymbol{f}$ of $\boldsymbol{n}$ variables

$$
\mathcal{F}(f)=\sum_{x \in \mathrm{~F}_{2}^{n}}(-1)^{f(x)}=2^{n}-2 w t(f)
$$

Linear functions of $n$ variables.

$$
\varphi_{a}: x \longmapsto a \cdot x
$$

Walsh transform of a function $f$ of $n$ variables

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{F}_{2}^{n} \longrightarrow \mathrm{C} \\
& a \quad \longmapsto \mathcal{F}\left(f+\varphi_{a}\right)=\sum_{x \in \mathrm{~F}_{2}^{n}}(-1)^{f(x)+a \cdot x}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Computing the Walsh transform

| $\boldsymbol{f}$ | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\left(f_{1}+\boldsymbol{f}_{2}, \boldsymbol{f}_{1}-\boldsymbol{f}_{2}\right)$ | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | -1 | -1 |
| $\left(f_{3}+f_{4}, f_{3}-f_{4}, f_{5}+f_{6}, f_{5}-f_{6}\right)$ | 1 | 3 | -1 | 1 | -1 | -1 | 1 | 1 |
| Fourier transform $\hat{\boldsymbol{f}}$ | 4 | -2 | 0 | -2 | -2 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| Walsh transform $=\mathbf{2}^{\boldsymbol{n}} \boldsymbol{\delta}_{0}-\mathbf{2} \hat{\boldsymbol{f}}$ | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 0 | -4 | 0 |

## Some basic properties of the Walsh transform

Lemma:

$$
\sum_{x \in \mathrm{~F}_{2}^{n}}(-1)^{a \cdot x}= \begin{cases}2^{n} & \text { if } a=0 \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Proposition. The Walsh transform is an involution (up to a multiplicative constant).

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{a \in \mathrm{~F}_{2}^{n}} \mathcal{F}\left(f+\varphi_{a}\right)(-1)^{a \cdot x} & =\sum_{u \in \mathrm{~F}_{2}^{n}} \sum_{a \in \mathrm{~F}_{2}^{n}}(-1)^{f(u)+a \cdot u+a \cdot x} \\
& =\sum_{u \in \mathrm{~F}_{2}^{n}}(-1)^{f(u)} \sum_{a \in \mathrm{~F}_{2}^{n}}(-1)^{a \cdot(x+u)} \\
& =2^{n}(-1)^{f(x)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Parseval equality.

$$
\sum_{a \in \mathrm{~F}_{2}^{n}} \mathcal{F}^{2}\left(f+\varphi_{a}\right)=2^{2 n}
$$

## Divisibility of the Walsh coefficients

Proposition.
For any $a \in \mathrm{~F}_{2}^{n}$,

$$
\mathcal{F}\left(f+\varphi_{a}\right) \equiv \mathcal{F}(f) \bmod 2^{\left\lceil\frac{n}{\operatorname{deg} f}\right\rceil+1}
$$

In particular,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{F}\left(f+\varphi_{a}\right) & \equiv 2 \bmod 4 \text { if } \operatorname{deg} f=n \\
& \equiv 0 \bmod 4 \text { if } \operatorname{deg} f<n
\end{aligned}
$$

## Nonlinearity of a Boolean function

Nonlinearity of $f: \mathrm{F}_{2}^{n} \rightarrow \mathrm{~F}_{2}$ :
Hamming distance of $f$ to $R M(1, n)=\left\{\varphi_{a}+\varepsilon, a \in \mathrm{~F}_{2}^{n}, \varepsilon \in \mathrm{~F}_{2}\right\}$.

$$
2^{n-1}-\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{L}(f) \quad \text { where } \mathcal{L}(f)=\max _{a}\left|\mathcal{F}\left(f+\varphi_{a}\right)\right|
$$

## Generalization of Siegenthaler's attack


where $\boldsymbol{g}$ is an $\boldsymbol{r}$-variable function such that

$$
p_{g}=\operatorname{Pr}\left[\boldsymbol{f}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{r}, x_{r+1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=\boldsymbol{g}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{r}\right)\right]>\frac{1}{2}
$$

Approximation of $f$ by a function of fewer variables
[Zhang-Chan 00][C.-Trabbia 00][C. 02]

## Proposition.

$$
\max _{g \in \mathcal{B} o o \ell_{r}}\left|p_{g}-\frac{1}{2}\right| \leq \frac{1}{2^{n+1}}\left(\sum_{\lambda \in \mathrm{F}_{2}^{r}} \mathcal{F}^{2}\left(f+\varphi_{\lambda, 0}\right)\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

In particular:

- For $f$ balanced,

$$
p_{g}=\frac{1}{2} \text { for any } g \text { depending on } t \text { variables }
$$

if and only if $f$ is $t$-resilient.

- The best approximation of a $t$-resilient function $f$ by a function of $(t+1)$ variables is affine: $g=x_{i_{1}}+\ldots+x_{i_{t+1}}+\varepsilon$.
- $\max _{g}\left|p_{g}-\frac{1}{2}\right| \leq 2^{\frac{r}{2}-n-1} \mathcal{L}(f)$.


## Generalization of Siegenthaler's attack



$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Pr}\left[s_{t} \neq \sigma_{t}\right]-\frac{1}{2} & \left.=\operatorname{Pr}\left[f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \neq x_{1}+\ldots+x_{t+1}\right)\right]-\frac{1}{2} \\
& =\frac{1}{2^{n+1}} \mathcal{F}\left(f+\varphi_{v}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $v$ is the vector which equals 1 on its first $(t+1)$ coordinates.

## Correlation attack on a filter generator

Let $a \in \mathbf{F}_{2}^{n}$ which minimizes

$$
p_{a}=\operatorname{Pr}\left[f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \neq \varphi_{a}\right]=\operatorname{Pr}\left[s_{t} \neq \sigma_{t}\right]
$$

where $\sigma_{t}=\varphi_{a}\left(u_{t+\gamma_{1}}, \ldots, u_{t+\gamma_{n}}\right)$.
The sequence $\sigma$ is produced by an LFSR with the same feedback polynomial but with initial state $\varphi_{a}\left(u_{t+\gamma_{1}}, \ldots, u_{t+\gamma_{n}}\right), \quad 0 \leq t<\boldsymbol{L}$.


## Proposition.

$$
2^{\frac{n}{2}} \leq \min _{f \in \mathcal{B} \text { ool }_{n}} \mathcal{L}(f) \leq 2^{\frac{n+1}{2}}
$$

where the lower bound is tight if and only if $\boldsymbol{n}$ is even and $f$ is bent.
Some properties of bent functions. [Rothaus 76][Dillon 74]
Let $\boldsymbol{f}$ be a bent function of $\boldsymbol{n}$ variables.

- $\forall a \in \mathrm{~F}_{2}^{n}, \quad \mathcal{F}\left(f+\varphi_{a}\right)= \pm 2^{\frac{n}{2}}$. In particular, $f$ is not balanced.
- $\operatorname{deg} f \leq \frac{n}{2}$.

Quadratic functions.
For $n$ odd, $n=2 t+1$

$$
x_{1} x_{2}+x_{3} x_{4}+\ldots+x_{2 t-1} x_{2 t}+x_{2 t+1}
$$

satisfies $\mathcal{L}(f)=2^{\frac{n+1}{2}}$. Moreover, $f$ is balanced and

$$
\forall a \in \mathbf{F}_{2}^{n}, \quad \mathcal{F}\left(f+\varphi_{a}\right) \in\left\{0, \pm 2^{\frac{n+1}{2}}\right\}
$$

## Boolean functions with a high nonlinearity (2)

| $\boldsymbol{n}$ | $\boldsymbol{\operatorname { m i n }}_{\boldsymbol{f} \in \mathcal{B o o l}_{\boldsymbol{n}} \mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{f})}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | 8 | [Berlekamp-Welch 72] |
| 7 | 16 | [Mykkelveit 80] |
| 9 | $24,26,28,30$ | [Kavut-Maitra-Yücel 06] |
| 11 | $46-60$ |  |
| 13 | $92-120$ |  |
| 15 | $182-216$ | [Paterson-Wiedemann 83] |

Open problem. Find the highest possible nonlinearity for a Boolean function of $\boldsymbol{n}$ variables, where $\boldsymbol{n}$ is odd and $\boldsymbol{n} \geq \mathbf{9}$. (Covering radius of $R M(1, n)$ )

Balanced Boolean functions with a high nonlinearity

## Proposition. [Dobbertin 94]

For balanced functions $\boldsymbol{f}$ of $\boldsymbol{n}$ variables, $\boldsymbol{n}$ even,

$$
2^{\frac{n}{2}}+4 \leq \min _{f \in \mathcal{B} a \ell_{n}} \mathcal{L}(f) \leq 2^{\frac{n}{2}}+\min _{g \in \mathcal{B} a \ell_{\frac{n}{2}}} \mathcal{L}(g)
$$

| $\boldsymbol{n}$ | $\min _{\boldsymbol{f} \in \mathcal{B a} \ell_{n}} \mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{f})$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 4 | 8 |
| 5 | 8 |
| 6 | 12 |
| 7 | 16 |
| 8 | 20,24 |
| 9 | $24,28,32$ |
| 10 | 36,40 |

Open problem. Find the highest possible nonlinearity for a balanced Boolean function of $n$ variables, where $n$ is even and $n \geq 8$.

## Algebraic attacks and related criteria

## Stream cipher with a linear transition function



Algebraic attacks [Courtois-Meier 03]
Let $A N(f)=\left\{g, g(x) f(x)=0\right.$ for all $\left.x \in \mathrm{~F}_{2}^{n}\right\}$.
Let $g \in A N(f)$, i.e., such that $g(x) f(x)=0$ for all $x$.

$$
\begin{gathered}
g\left(x_{t}\right) f\left(x_{t}\right)=g\left(x_{t}\right) s_{t}=0 \\
\Longrightarrow g \circ \mathcal{L}^{t}\left(x_{0}\right)=0 \text { if } s_{t}=1 .
\end{gathered}
$$

Let $h \in A N(1+f)$, i.e, such that $h(x)(1+f(x))=0$ for all $x \in \mathbf{F}_{2}^{\boldsymbol{n}}$.

$$
\begin{gathered}
h\left(x_{t}\right)\left(1+f\left(x_{t}\right)\right)=h\left(x_{t}\right)\left(1+s_{t}\right)=0 \\
\Longrightarrow h \circ \mathcal{L}^{t}\left(x_{0}\right)=0 \text { if } s_{t}=0 .
\end{gathered}
$$

Algebraic system with $L$ variables of degree

$$
d=\min \{\operatorname{deg}(g), g \in A N(f) \cup A N(1+f), g \neq 0\}
$$

## Complexity of the attack

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A I(f)=\text { algebraic immunity of the filtering function } f \\
& A I(f)=\min \{\operatorname{deg}(\boldsymbol{g}), \boldsymbol{g} \in \boldsymbol{A} \boldsymbol{N}(\boldsymbol{f}) \cup \boldsymbol{A N}(\mathbf{1}+\boldsymbol{f}), \boldsymbol{g} \neq \mathbf{0}\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Required number of keystream bits:

$$
N \geq \frac{2 L^{A I(f)}}{A I(f)!\left(A_{0}^{A I(f)}+A_{1}^{A I(f)}\right)}
$$

Number of operations:

$$
\left(\sum_{i=0}^{A I(f)}\binom{L}{i}\right)^{\omega} \simeq L^{A I(f) \omega} \text { where } \omega \simeq 2.37
$$

Existence of $g \in A N(f)$ with $\operatorname{deg} g \leq d$


$$
\operatorname{dim}\{g \in A N(f), \operatorname{deg} g \leq d\}=\sum_{i=0}^{d}\binom{n}{i}-\operatorname{rank}\left(R M^{f}(d, n)\right)
$$

Proposition. There exists $g \neq 0$ in $A N(f)$ with $\operatorname{deg} g \leq d$ if

$$
w t(f)<\sum_{i=0}^{d}\binom{n}{i}
$$

## Bounds on the algebraic immunity [Courtois-Meier 03][Dalai-Gupta-Maitra 04]

## Proposition.

Let $\boldsymbol{f}$ be a Boolean function of $\boldsymbol{n}$ variables. If $\boldsymbol{A I}(\boldsymbol{f}) \geq \boldsymbol{d}$, then

$$
\sum_{i=0}^{d}\binom{n}{i} \leq w t(f) \leq 2^{n}-\sum_{i=0}^{d}\binom{n}{i}
$$

Corollary. For any $\boldsymbol{f}$ of $\boldsymbol{n}$ variables,

$$
A I(f) \leq\left\lceil\frac{n}{2}\right\rceil
$$

Moreover, if $f$ has optimal AI, then

- if $n$ is odd, $w t(f)=2^{n-1}$
- if $\boldsymbol{n}$ is even,

$$
2^{n-1}-\frac{1}{2}\binom{n}{n / 2} \leq w t(f) \leq 2^{n-1}+\frac{1}{2}\binom{n}{n / 2}
$$

Algebraic immunity and nonlinearity [Dalai-Gupta-Maitra 04]

Proposition. Let $f$ be a function of $n$ variables. If $f$ has algebraic immunity at least $d$, then

$$
\mathcal{N} \mathcal{L}(f) \geq \sum_{i=0}^{d-2}\binom{n}{i}
$$

Most notably, if $f$ has optimal algebraic immunity, then

$$
\mathcal{N} \mathcal{L}(f) \geq \begin{cases}2^{n-1}-\binom{n}{2} & \text { if } n \text { is odd } \\ 2^{n-1}-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)-\left(\frac{n}{2}-1\right) & \text { if } n \text { is even }\end{cases}
$$

The converse does not hold! (e.g. bent functions of degree 2).

## Some practical constructions

## Symmetric functions [C.-Videau05]

Definition. A Boolean function is symmetric if its output is invariant under any permutation of its inputs. $\Longleftrightarrow$ The output only depends on the Hamming weight of the input vector.

## Implementation.

- A symmetric function of $\boldsymbol{n}$ variables can be represented by a vector of $(n+1)$ bits.
- complexity: $\mathcal{O}(n)$.


## Related problems.

- Only a few balanced functions (except those having linear structures).
- Highly nonlinear functions are (close to) quadratic functions.


## Components of power functions



$$
S_{\lambda}: x \longmapsto \operatorname{Tr}\left(\lambda x^{s}\right) \text { over } \mathrm{F}_{2^{n}}, \quad \lambda \in \mathrm{~F}_{2^{n}}^{*}
$$

Proposition. The Hamming weight of $S_{\lambda}$ is divisible by $\operatorname{gcd}\left(s, 2^{n}-1\right)$. In particular:

- $\boldsymbol{S}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}$ is balanced if and only if $\operatorname{gcd}\left(s, 2^{n}-1\right)=1$.
- If $\boldsymbol{S}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}$ is bent, then $\operatorname{gcd}\left(s, 2^{n}-\underset{n}{1}\right)>1$ and $s$ is coprime either with $\left(2^{\frac{n}{2}}-1\right)$ or with $\left(2^{\frac{n}{2}}+1\right)$.


## Balanced components of power functions

- For odd $n$ :

$$
\mathcal{L}\left(S_{\lambda}\right) \geq 2^{\frac{n+1}{2}}
$$

with equality for almost bent (AB) functions [Chabaud-Vaudenay94].

- For even $\boldsymbol{n}$ : it is conjectured that

$$
\mathcal{L}\left(S_{\lambda}\right) \geq 2^{\frac{n}{2}+1}
$$

Known AB power functions $S: x \mapsto x^{s}$ over $\mathbf{F}_{2^{n}}$ with $n=2 t+1$

|  | exponents $s$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |
| quadratic | $2^{i}+\mathbf{1}$ with $\operatorname{gcd}(\boldsymbol{i}, \boldsymbol{n})=\mathbf{1}$, | [Gold 68], [Nyberg 93] |
|  | $\mathbf{1} \leq \boldsymbol{i} \leq \boldsymbol{t}$ |  |
| Kasami | $2^{2 \boldsymbol{i}}-\mathbf{2}^{\boldsymbol{i}}+\mathbf{1}$ with $\operatorname{gcd}(\boldsymbol{i}, \boldsymbol{n})=\mathbf{1}$ |  |
|  | $\mathbf{2} \leq \boldsymbol{i} \leq \boldsymbol{t}$ | [Kasami 71] |
| Welch | $\mathbf{2}^{\boldsymbol{t}}+\mathbf{3}$ | [Dobbertin 98] |
|  |  | [C.-Charpin-Dobbertin 00] |
| Niho | $\mathbf{2}^{\boldsymbol{t}}+\mathbf{2}^{\frac{t}{2}}-\mathbf{1}$ if $\boldsymbol{t}$ is even | [Dobbertin 98] |
|  | $\mathbf{2}^{\boldsymbol{t}}+\mathbf{2}^{\frac{3 t+1}{2}}-\mathbf{1}$ if $\boldsymbol{t}$ is odd | [Xiang-Hollmann 01] |

Known power permutations $S: x \mapsto x^{s}$ over $\mathbf{F}_{2^{n}}, \boldsymbol{n}$ even, with the highest nonlinearity

| $2^{i}+1, \operatorname{gcd}(i, n)=2$ | $n \equiv 2 \bmod 4$ | [Gold 68] |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $2^{2 i}-2^{i}+1, \operatorname{gcd}(i, n)=2$ | $n \equiv 2 \bmod 4$ | [Kasami 71] |
| $\sum_{i=0}^{n / 2} 2^{i k}, \operatorname{gcd}(k, n)=1$ | $n \equiv 0 \bmod 4$ | [Dobbertin 98] |
| $2^{\frac{n}{2}}+2^{\frac{n+2}{4}}+1$ | $n \equiv 2 \bmod 4$ | [Cusick-Dobbertin 95] |
| $2^{\frac{n}{2}}+2^{\frac{n}{2}-1}+1$ | $n \equiv 2 \bmod 4$ | [Cusick-Dobbertin 95] |
| $2^{\frac{n}{2}}+2^{\frac{n}{4}}+1$ | $n \equiv 4 \bmod 8$ | [Dobbertin 98] |
| $2^{n-1}-1$ |  | [Lachaud-Wolfmann 90] |

## Conclusions

Paradox for hardware-oriented ciphers:
Every Boolean function having a strong algebraic structure is weak. The implementation complexity of almost all $\boldsymbol{n}$-variable Boolean functions is greater than $2^{n} / n$.
$\longrightarrow$ search for suboptimal functions regarding both the resistance to known attacks and the implementation complexity.

